

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 ANKARA 04776 191326Z

53

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15

ACDA-10 AID-05 IGA-01 MC-02 COME-00 EB-07 TRSE-00

OMB-01 IO-10 EURE-00 /104 W
----- 098488

P R 191207Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9648

INFO AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY NICOSIA

AMCONSUL ADANA

AMCONSUL ISTANBUL

AMCONSUL IZMIR

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION USUN

CINCUSAFE

EUCOM

UNCLAS ANKARA 4776

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, MASS, TU, US

SUBJ: FONMIN CAR

AYANGIL ELABORATES ON GOT RESIONSE TO
ARMS EMBARGO

FONMIN CARLASANGIL, IN AN INTERVIEW DROADCAST ON TURKISH RADIO
AND TELEVISI

N(TRT) THE EVENING OF JUNE 18, DISCUSSED A
VARIETY OF TURKISH FOREIGN POLICY CONCERNS, INCLUDING THE GOT
RESPONSE TO THE US ARMS ENBARGO. THE FOLLOWING ARE Q'S AND
A'S EXCERPTED FROM THAT IORTION OF THE INTERCIEW:

Q. COULD THE ISSUES OF COMMON DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS BE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 ANKARA 04776 191326Z

SOLVED WEPARATELY FROM OTHER ISSUES BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTRIES?

A. HERE, I THINK, THERE IS A MISINTERPRETATION, A MISUNDERSTANDING. WHAT WE DISCLOSED YESTERDAY WAS THIS: THE U.S. HAS UNILATERALLY VIOLATED ITS BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WITH TURKEY; CUT-OFF ITS AID TO TURKEY AND THEN INSTITUTED AN ARMS EMBARGO AGAINST TURKEY. SO, TURKEY HAS DECIDED UNILATERALLY THAT ALL HER EXISTING AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. COULD NOT BE CONTINUED ANY LONGER. THAT IS, THERE ISN'T ANY SITUATION LEFT LIKE REVIEWING OR REDISCUSSING THE EXISTING AGREEMENTS. THERE ARE NO AGREEMENTS LEFT.

Q. SINCE THE U.S. HAD TAKEN HER DECISION UNILATERALLY, WHY, THEN, DID TURKEY OFFER DISCUSSIONS TO THE U.S.?

A. THE DECISION THE U.S. HAS TAKEN UNILATERALLY IS U.S. MILITARY AID CUT-OFF; THE DECISION TURKEY HAS TAKEN UNILATERALLY IS NOT TO CONSIDER THE EXISTING AGREEMENTS IN EFFECT. ABOUT THE 30-DAY PERIOD ... EVEN, WHEN YOU WANT TO DISREGARD A RENT CONTRACT, YOU GIVE A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME...

Q. WHAT ABOUT THE "PROVISIONAL STATUS"?

A. IT IS THIS: AFTER THE 30-DAY PERIOD, TURKEY WILL INFORM THE U.S. IN WHICH FIELDS, SCALES, LIMITS AND UNDER WHICH CONDITIONS TURKEY WILL WANT TO IMPLEMENT THE COMMON DEFENSE COOPERATION. TURKEY HAS SET THESE, BUT WILL INFORM THE U.S. OF THESE AFTER 30 DAYS. WHY DON'T WE DISCLOSE THE CONTENT OF THE "PROVISIONAL STATUS"? BECAUSE THERE IS NO OBLIGATION AND NECESSITY FOR THIS. THE TIME IS EARLY FOR T. WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DISCUSS WITH THE U.S. IS NOT THE DECISION WE HAVE TAKEN UNILATERALLY... IT IS THE DELIVERY OF THE "PROVISIONAL STATUS" TO THE U.S. WE WILL TELL THEM OUR DESIRE TO CONTINUE COOPERATION IN SOME FIELDS. WE WILL DISCUSS THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE NEW DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH THE U.S. WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

Q. WHAT IF THE U.S. WANTS TO SIT DOWN FOR DISCUSSIONS BEFORE THE END OF THE 30-DAY PERIOD? LET'S SAY JULY 1.

A. THEN WE WILL START DISCUSSIONS RIGHT THEN. SINCE THE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 ANKARA 04776 191326Z

BILATERAL AGREEMENTS ARE NO LONGER VALID, THE "PROVISIONAL STATUS" WILL BE PUT INTO EFFECT IMMEDIATELY, TOO.

Q. WHAT IF THE U.S. LIFTS THE ARMS EMBARGO BEFORE THE 30-DAY PERIOD ENDS? WILL THERE AGAIN BE DISCUSSIONS ON THE AGREEMENTS RELATED TO THE COMMON DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS?

A. NATURALLY, SINCE THE AGREEMENTS ARE NO LONGER VALID, DISCUSSIONS ARE NECESSARY TO DETERMINE THE GUIDELINES OF THE CONDITIONS AND THE FIELDS UNDER AND IN WHICH THE NEW COOPERATION WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

Q. SINCE MOST OF THE BASIC AGREEMENT IS PREPARED ON THE BASIS OF LOYALTY TO NATO, ISN'T IT NATURAL THAT THE OTHER NATO COUNTRIES ARE ALSO INTERESTED IN THE DECISIONS AND ARRANGEMENTS RELATED TO THE COMMON DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS IN TURKEY?

A. IN ANSWER TO A PRESS QUERY YESTERDAY I ANSWERED THAT IT WAS NOT NECESSARY TO GIVE THE NOTE WE HAVE DELIVERED TO THE U.S. TO THE OTHER NATO COUNTRIES ALSO, BUT ALL THE DEFENSE COOPERATION IS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF NATO. NATO COUNTRIES CAN ESTABLISH BILATERAL AGREEMENTS WHICH WOULD REINFORCE THEIR DEFENSE. ALTHOUGH THESE ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE NATO INTEGRATION, THEY MEAN AN ADDITIONAL STRENGTH FOR NATO. SO, IT IS VERY NATURAL TO INFORM THE OTHER NATO COUNTRIES OF THESE AGREEMENTS.

Q. WHAT IF THE U.S. EMBARGO IS NOT LIFTED? WILL TURKEY'S RELATIONS WITH NATO AND HER PLACE IN THE WORLD BE REVIEWED IN TOTAL? ARE THERE ANY PREPARATIONS FOR THIS?

A. I NEITHER WISH OR CONSIDER THAT THE DEVELOPMENTS WOULD END AT SUCH A DANGEROUS POINT. BUT, WHATEVER THE REQUIREMENTS NECESSITATE, IT WILL BE DONE.

Q. AT THE NATO MEETING, HAS PRESIDENT FORD GIVEN ANY GUARANTEE (ASSURANCE) TO PRIME MINISTER DEMIREL IN RELATION TO THE LIFTING OF THE U.S. ARMS EMBARGO?

A. THE EMBARGO DECISION IS NOT BY THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. THIS IS A CONGRESSIONAL DECISION. THE RUARANTEE PRESIDENT
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 ANKARA 04776 191326Z

FORD GAVE WAS THAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD INTENSIFY ITS EFFORTS IN THE CONGRESS FOR THE LIFTING OF THE ARMS EMBARGO AND THAT THERE HAD BEEN A SERIOUS JUDGMENT, FOR THE FIRST TIME, IN THE CONGRESS, TOO, ABOUT THE INJUSTICE OF THE ARMS EMBARGO DECISION.
BERGUS

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: GOVERNMENT REACTION, POLICIES, PRESS CONFERENCES, ARMS EMBARGO
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975ANKARA04776
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750213-1166
From: ANKARA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750632/aaaabdau.tel
Line Count: 159
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ShawDG
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 05 MAR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 MAR 2003 by PhilliR0>; APPROVED <09 MAR 2004 by ShawDG>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: FONMIN CAR AYANGIL ELABORATES ON GOT RESIONSE TO
TAGS: PFOR, MASS, TU, US, (CAGLAYANGIL, IHSAN SABRI)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006